arXiv:1610.08390v1 [math.CV] 26 Oct 2016

NON-INTEGRATED DEFECT RELATION FOR MEROMORPHIC MAPS FROM A KÄHLER MANIFOLD INTERSECTING HYPERSURFACES IN SUBGENERAL OF $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$

SI DUC QUANG, NGUYEN THI QUYNH PHUONG, AND NGUYEN THI NHUNG

Abstract. In this article, we establish a truncated non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic mappings from an m-dimensional complete Kähler manifold into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ intersecting q hypersurfaces $Q_1, ..., Q_q$ in k-subgeneral position of degree d_i, i.e., the intersection of any $k+1$ hypersurfaces is emptyset. We will prove that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_i) \leq (k-n+1)(n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d},$$

where u is explicitly estimated and d is the least common multiple of d_i's. Our result generalizes and improves previous results. In the last part of this paper we will apply this result to study the distribution of the Gauss map of minimal surfaces.

1. Introduction and Main result

Let M be a complete Kähler manifold of dimension m. Let $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping and Ω_f be the pull-back of the Fubini-Study form Ω on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f. For a positive integer μ_0 and a hypersurface D of degree d in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ with $f(M) \not\subset D$, we denote by $\nu_f(D)(p)$ the intersection multiplicity of the image of f and D at $f(p)$.

In 1985, H. Fujimoto [5] defined the notion of the non-integrated defect of f with respect to D truncated to level μ_0 by

$$\delta_f^{[\mu_0]} := 1 - \inf\{\eta \geq 0 : \eta \text{ satisfies condition } (*)\}.$$

Here, the condition (*) means that there exists a bounded non-negative continuous function h on M whose order of each zero is not less than $\min\{\nu_f(D), \mu_0\}$ such that

$$d\eta\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^2 \geq [\min\{\nu_f(D), \mu_0\}].$$

And then he gave a result analogous to the defect relation in Nevanlinna theory as follows.

Theorem A (see [5, Theorem 1.1]). *Let M be an m-dimensional complete Kähler manifold and ω be a Kähler form of M. Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic map which is linearly nondegenerate (i.e., its image is not contained in any hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$). Let*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32H30, 32A22; Secondary 30D35.
Key words and phrases: Nevanlinna, second main theorem, meromorphic mapping, non-integrated defect relation.

$H_1, \cdots, H_q$ be hyperplanes of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ in general position. For some $\rho \geq 0$, if there exists a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that

$$\rho\Omega_f + \mathrm{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \mathrm{Ric}\ \omega,$$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[n]}(H_i) \leq n + 1 + \rho n(n+1).$$

Recently, M. Ru-S. Sogome [10] generalized Theorem A to the case of meromorphic mappings intersecting a family of hypersurfaces in general position. After that, Q. Yan [12] extended Theorem A by consider the case where the family of hypersurfaces in subgeneral position. He proved the following.

Theorem B (see [12, Theorem 1.1]). *Let M be an m-dimensional complete Kähler manifold and ω be a Kähler form of M. Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let f be an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic map of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $Q_1, ..., Q_q$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree P_{Ij}, in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $d = l.c.m.\{Q_1, ..., Q_q\}$ (the least common multiple of $\{Q_1, ..., Q_q\}$). Denote by Ω_f the pull-back of the Fubini-Study form of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ by f. Assume that for some $\rho \geq 0$, there exists a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that*

$$\rho\Omega_f + \mathrm{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \mathrm{Ric}\ \omega.$$

Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j) \leq k(n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d},$$

where $u = \binom{N+n}{n} \leq (3ekdI(\epsilon^{-1}))^n (n+1)^{3n}$ and $N = 2kdn^2(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1})$.

Here, for a real number x, we define $I(x) := \min\{a \in \mathbf{Z}\ ;\ a > x\}$.

However, the above result of Q. Yan does not yet completely extend the results of H. Fujimoto and M. Ru-S. Sogome. Indeed, when the family of hypersurfaces in general position, i.e., $k = n$, the first term in the right hand side of the defect relation inequality is $n(n+1)$, which is bigger than $(n+1)$ as usual. Recently, T. V. Tan and V. V. Truong in [11] also gave a non-integrated defect relation for the family of hypersurfaces in subgeneral position, where this term is equal to $n + 1$. But their definition of "subgeneral position" is quite special, which has an extra condition on the intersection of these q hypersurfaces (see Definition 1.1(ii) in [11])

The first aim of this paper is to establish a non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic mappings of complete Kähler manifolds into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ sharing hypersurfaces located in subgeneral position which generalizes the above mentioned results and improves the result of Q. Yan. In usual principle, to treat with the case of family of hypersurfaces in subgeneral position, we need to generalize the notion of Nochka weights. However for the case of hypersurfaces, there is no Nochka weights constructed. In order to over come this difficult, we will use a technique "replacing hypersurfaces" proposed in [8, 9]. Before stating our result, we recall the following.

Let $k \geq n$ and $q \geq k+1$. Let $Q_1, ..., Q_q$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. The hypersurfaces $Q_1, ..., Q_q$ are said to be in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ if

$$Q_{j_1} \cap \cdots \cap Q_{j_{k+1}} = \emptyset \text{ for every } 1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_{k+1} \leq q.$$

If $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^q$ is in n-subgeneral position then we say that it is in *general position.*

Our main result is stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be an m-dimensional complete Kähler manifold and ω be a Kähler form of M. Assume that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let f be an algebraically nondegenerate meromorphic map of M into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $Q_1, ..., Q_q$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d_j, in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Let $d = l.c.m.\{d_1, ..., d_q\}$ (the least common multiple of $\{d_1, ..., d_q\}$). Assume that for some $\rho \geq 0$, there exists a bounded continuous function $h \geq 0$ on M such that*

$$\rho\Omega_f + \mathrm{dd}^c \log h^2 \geq \mathrm{Ric}\ \omega.$$

Then, for each $\epsilon > 0$, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j) \leq p(n+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d},$$

where $p = k - n + 1$, $u = \binom{N+n}{n} \leq e^{n+2}(dp(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1}))^n$ and $N = (n+1)d + p(n+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})d$.

Then we see that, if the family of hypersurfaces is in general position, i.e., $k = n$, then our result deduces the results of H. Fujimoto and also of M. Ru-S. Sogome. Of course, compaired to the original form of Cartan-Nochka's theorem where the first term in the right hand side of the defect relation inequality is $(2k - n + 1)$, our result is still not yet optimal. Therefore, how to give a sharp defect relation in this case is an open question.

In the above theorem, letting $\epsilon = 1 + \epsilon'$ with $\epsilon' > 0$ and then letting $\epsilon' \longrightarrow 0$, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *With the assumption of Theorem 1.1, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j) \leq p(n+1) + 1 + \frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d},$$

where $p = k - n + 1$, $u = \binom{N+n}{n} \leq e^{n+2}(dp(n+1)^2)^n$ and $N = (n+1)d(1 + p(n+1)^2)$.

In the last part of this paper, we will apply Theorem 1.1 to give a non-integrated defect relation of the Gauss map of a regular submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^m$ (see Theorem 4.2 below).

2. Basic notions and auxiliary results from Nevanlinna theory

2.1. Counting function. We set $||z|| = \left(|z_1|^2 + \cdots + |z_m|^2\right)^{1/2}$ for $z = (z_1, \ldots, z_m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and define

$$\mathbb{B}^m(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : ||z|| < r\},$$
$$S(r) := \{z \in \mathbb{C}^m : ||z|| = r\}\ (0 < r < \infty).$$

Define

$$v_{m-1}(z) := \left(dd^c||z||^2\right)^{m-1} \qquad \text{and}$$

$$\sigma_m(z) := d^c\log||z||^2 \wedge \left(dd^c\log||z||^2\right)^{m-1}\text{on} \quad \mathbb{C}^m \setminus \{0\}.$$

For a divisor ν on a ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R)$ of $\mathbb{C}^m$, with $R > 0$, and for a positive integer M or $M = \infty$, we define the counting function of ν by

$$\nu^{[M]}(z) = \min\{M, \nu(z)\},$$

$$n(t) = \begin{cases} \int\limits_{|\nu|\cap\mathbb{B}^m(t)} \nu(z)v_{m-1} & \text{if } m \geq 2, \\ \sum\limits_{|z|\leq t} \nu(z) & \text{if } m = 1. \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we define $n^{[M]}(t)$.

Define

$$N(r, r_0, \nu) = \int\limits_{r_0}^{r} \frac{n(t)}{t^{2m-1}}dt \quad (0 < r_0 < r < R).$$

Similarly, define $N(r, r_0, \nu^{[M]})$ and denote it by $N^{[M]}(r, r_0, \nu)$.

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{C}^m \longrightarrow \mathbb{B}^m(r)$ be a meromorphic function. Denote by ν_φ the zero divisor of φ. Define

$$N_\varphi(r, r_0) = N(r, r_0, \nu_\varphi),\ N_\varphi^{[M]}(r, r_0) = N^{[M]}(r, r_0, \nu_\varphi).$$

For brevity, we will omit the character $^{[M]}$ if $M = \infty$.

2.2. Characteristic function and first main theorem. Let $f : \mathbb{B}^m(R) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping. For arbitrarily fixed homogeneous coordinates $(w_0 : \cdots : w_n)$ on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, we take a reduced representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \ldots, f_n)$, which means that each f_i is a holomorphic function on $\mathbb{B}^m(R)$ and $f(z) = \big(f_0(z) : \cdots : f_n(z)\big)$ outside the analytic subset $\{f_0 = \cdots = f_n = 0\}$ of codimension ≥ 2. Set $\|\tilde{f}\| = \left(|f_0|^2 + \cdots + |f_n|^2\right)^{1/2}$.

The characteristic function of f is defined by

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int_{r_0}^{r} \frac{dt}{t^{2m-1}} \int\limits_{\mathbb{B}^m(t)} f^*\Omega \wedge v^{m-1},\ (0 < r_0 < r < R).$$

By Jensen's formula, we will have

$$T_f(r, r_0) = \int\limits_{S(r)} \log\|f\|\sigma_m - \int\limits_{S(r_0)} \log\|\tilde{f}\|\sigma_m + O(1),\ (\text{as } r \to R).$$

Let Q be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d. Throughout this paper, we sometimes identify a hypersurface with the defining polynomial if there is no confusion. Then we may write

$$Q(\omega) = \sum_{I\in\mathcal{T}_d} a_I\omega^I,$$

where $\mathcal{T}_d = \{(i_0, ..., i_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1} \ ; \ i_0 + \cdots + i_n = d\}$, $\omega = (\omega_0, ..., \omega_n)$, $\omega^I = \omega_0^{i_0} ... \omega_n^{i_n}$ with $I = (i_0, ..., i_n) \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and a_I ($I \in \mathcal{T}_d$) are constants, not all zeros. In the case $d = 1$, we call Q a hyperplane of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

The proximity function of f with respect to Q, denoted by $m_f(r, r_0, Q)$, is defined by

$$m_f(r, r_0, Q) = \int_{S(r)} \log \frac{||\tilde{f}||^d}{|Q(\tilde{f})|} \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log \frac{||\tilde{f}||^d}{|Q(\tilde{f})|} \sigma_m,$$

where $Q(\tilde{f}) = Q(f_0, ..., f_n)$. This definition is independent of the choice of the reduced representation of f.

We denote by f^*Q the pullback of the divisor Q by f. We may see that f^*Q identifies with the zero divisor $\nu^0_{Q(\tilde{f})}$ of the function $Q(\tilde{f})$. By Jensen's formula, we have

$$N(r, r_0, f^*Q) = N_{Q(\tilde{f})}(r, r_0) = \int_{S(r)} \log |Q(\tilde{f})| \sigma_m - \int_{S(r_0)} \log |Q(\tilde{f})| \sigma_m.$$

Then the first main theorem in Nevanlinna theory for meromorphic mappings and hypersurfaces is stated as follows.

Theorem 2.1 (First Main Theorem)**.** *Let $f : \mathbb{B}^m(R) \to \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a holomorphic map, and let Q be a hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of degree d. If $f(\mathbb{C}) \not\subset Q$, then for every real number r with $r_0 < r < R$,*

$$dT_f(r, r_0) = m_f(r, r_0, Q) + N(r, r_0, f^*Q) + O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ is a constant independent of r.

If $\limsup_{r \to 1} \dfrac{T(r, r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} = \infty$, then the Nevanlinna's defect of f with respect to the hypersurface Q truncated to level l is defined by

$$\delta^{[l]}_{f,*}(Q) = 1 - \limsup \frac{N^l(r, r_0, f^*Q)}{T_f(r, r_0)}.$$

There is a fact that

$$0 \leq \delta^{[l]}_f(Q) \leq \delta^{[l]}_{f,*}(Q) \leq 1.$$

(See Proposition 2.1 in [10])

2.3. Auxiliary results. Repeating the argument in [5, Proposition 4.5], we have the following.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $F_0, \ldots, F_N$ be meromorphic functions on the ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$ such that $\{F_0, \ldots, F_N\}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists an admissible set*

$$\{\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, ..., \alpha_{im})\}_{i=0}^N \subset \mathbb{Z}_+^m$$

with $|\alpha_i| = \sum_{j=1}^m |\alpha_{ij}| \leq i$ $(0 \leq i \leq N)$ such that the following are satisfied:

(i) $W_{\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_N}(F_0, \ldots, F_N) \overset{Def}{:=} \det\left(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i} \ F_j\right)_{0 \leq i,j \leq N} \not\equiv 0.$

(ii) $W_{\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_N}(hF_0, \ldots, hF_N) = h^{N+1} W_{\alpha_0, ..., \alpha_N}(F_0, \ldots, F_N)$ *for any nonzero meromorphic function h on $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$.*

In [10], M. Ru and S. Sogome gave the following lemma on logarithmic derivative for the meromorphic mappings of a ball in $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$.

Proposition 2.3 (see [10, Proposition 3.3]). *Let $L_0, \dots, L_N$ be linear forms of $N+1$ variables and assume that they are linearly independent. Let F be a meromorphic mapping of the ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ with a reduced representation $\tilde{F} = (F_0, \dots, F_N)$ and let $(\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N)$ be an admissible set of F. Set $l = |\alpha_0| + \cdots + |\alpha_N|$ and take t, p with $0 < tl < p < 1$. Then, for $0 < r_0 < R_0$, there exists a positive constant K such that for $r_0 < r < R < R_0$,*

$$\int_{S(r)} \left| z^{\alpha_0+\cdots+\alpha_N} \frac{W_{\alpha_0,\dots,\alpha_N}(F_0, \dots, F_N)}{L_0(\tilde{F}) \dots L_N(\tilde{F})} \right|^t \sigma_m \leq K \left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r} T_F(R, r_0) \right)^p.$$

Here $z^{\alpha_i} = z_1^{\alpha_{i1}} ... z_m^{\alpha_{im}}$, where $\alpha_i = (\alpha_{i1}, ..., \alpha_{im}) \in \mathbb{N}_0^m$.

3. Non-integrated defect relation for nondegenerate mappings sharing hypersurfaces in subgeneral position

First of all, we need the following lemma due to [8, 9]. For the sake of completeness, we also include the proofs.

Lemma 3.1 (see [8, Lemma 3.1], [9, Lemma 3.1]). *Let $Q_1, ..., Q_{k+1}$ be hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of the same degree $d \geq 1$, such that*

$$\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} Q_i \right) = \emptyset.$$

Then there exist n hypersurfaces $P_2, ..., P_{n+1}$ of the forms

$$P_t = \sum_{j=2}^{k-n+t} c_{tj} Q_j, \ c_{tj} \in \mathbb{C}, \ t = 2, ..., n+1,$$

such that $\left(\bigcap_{t=1}^{n+1} P_t\right) = \emptyset$, where $P_1 = Q_1$.

Proof. Set $P_1 = Q_1$. It is easy to see that

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{t} Q_i \right) \leq k - t + 1, \ t = k - n + 2, ..., k + 1,$$

where $\dim \emptyset = -\infty$.

Step 1. We firstly construct P_2 as follows. For each irreducible component I of dimension $n-1$ of P_1, we put

$$V_{1I} = \{c = (c_2, ..., c_{k-n+2}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-n+1} \ ; \ I \subset Q_c, \text{ where } Q_c = \sum_{j=2}^{k-n+2} c_j Q_j\}.$$

Here, we also consider the case where Q_c may be zero polynomial and it determines all $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. It easy to see that V_{1I} is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k-n+1}$. Since $\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=0}^{k-n+1} Q_i \right) \leq k-2$, there exists $i (1 \leq i \leq k-n+1)$ such that $I \not\subset Q_i$. This implies that V_{1I} is a proper

subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k-n+1}$. Since the set of irreducible components of dimension $k-1$ of P_0 is finite,

$$\mathbb{C}^{k-n+1} \setminus \bigcup_I V_{1I} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, there exists $(c_{12}, ..., c_{1(k-n+2)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-n+1}$ such that the hypersurface

$$P_2 = \sum_{j=2}^{k-n+2} c_{1j} Q_j$$

does not contain any irreducible component of dimension $k-1$ of P_1. This implies that $\dim (P_1 \cap P_2) \leq k-2$.

Step 2. Similarly, for each irreducible component I' of dimension $n-2$ of $(P_1 \cap P_2)$, put

$$V_{2I'} = \{c = (c_2, ..., c_{k-n+3}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-n+2} \ ; \ I' \subset Q'_c, \text{ where } Q'_c = \sum_{j=2}^{k-n+3} c_j Q_j\}.$$

Hence, $V_{2I'}$ is a subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k-n+2}$. Since $\dim \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k-n+3} Q_i\right) \leq n-3$, there exists $i, (2 \leq i \leq k-n+3)$ such that $I' \not\subset Q_i$. Hence $V_{2I'}$ is a proper subspace of $\mathbb{C}^{k-n+2}$. Since the set of irreducible components of dimension $n-2$ of $(P_1 \cap P_2)$ is infinite,

$$\mathbb{C}^{k-n+2} \setminus \bigcup_{I'} V_{2I'} \neq \emptyset.$$

Then, there exists $(c_{22}, ..., c_{2(N-k+3)}) \in \mathbb{C}^{k-n+2}$ such that the hypersurface

$$P_3 = \sum_{j=2}^{k-n+3} c_{2j} Q_j$$

does not contain any irreducible components of dimension $n-2$ of $P_1 \cap P_2$. Hence $\dim (P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3) \leq n-3$.

Repeating again the above steps, after the n-th step we get the hypersurfaces $P_2, ..., P_{n+1}$ satisfying that

$$\dim \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{t} P_j\right) \leq n-t.$$

In particular, $\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} P_j\right) = \emptyset$. The lemma is proved. □

Let $f : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ be a meromorphic mapping with a reduced representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \ldots, f_n)$. We define

$$Q_i(\tilde{f}) = \sum_{I \in \mathcal{I}_d} a_{iI} f^I,$$

where $f^I = f_0^{i_0} \cdots f_n^{i_n}$ for $I = (i_0, ..., i_n)$. Then we can consider $f^* Q_i = \nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}$ as divisors. We now have the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $\{Q_i\}_{i\in R}$ be a family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of the common degree d and let f be a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that $\bigcap_{i\in R} Q_i = \emptyset$. Then, there exist positive constants α and β such that*

$$\alpha||\tilde{f}||^d \leq \max_{i\in R} |Q_i(\tilde{f})| \leq \beta||\tilde{f}||^d.$$

Proof. Let $(x_0 : \cdots : x_n)$ be homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$. Assume that each Q_i is defined by $\sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_d} a_{iI}x^I = 0$.

Set $Q_i(x) = \sum_{I\in\mathcal{I}_d} a_{iI}x^I$ and consider the following function

$$h(x) = \frac{\max_{i\in R} |Q_i(x)|}{||x||^d},$$

where $||x|| = (\sum_{i=0}^n |x_i|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Since the function h is positive continuous on $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, by the compactness of $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, there exist positive constants α and β such that $\alpha = \min_{x\in\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})} h(x)$ and $\beta = \max_{x\in\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})} h(x)$. Therefore, we have

$$\alpha||\tilde{f}||^d \leq \max_{i\in R} |Q_i(\tilde{f})| \leq \beta||\tilde{f}||^d.$$

The lemma is proved. □

By Jensen's formula, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^u$ be a family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ of the common degree d and let f be a meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^m$ into $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$, where $u = \binom{n+d}{n}$. Assume that $\{L_i\}_{i=1}^u$ are linearly independent. Then, for every $0 < r_0 < r < R_0$, we have*

$$T_F(r, r_0) = dT_f(r, r_0) + O(1),$$

where F is the meromorphic mapping of $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ into $\mathbb{P}^{u-1}(\mathbb{C})$ defined by the representation $F = (L_1(\tilde{f}) : \cdots : L_u(\tilde{f}))$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By using the universal covering if necessary, we may assume that $M = \mathbb{B}^m(1)$.

Replacing Q_j by $Q_j^{\frac{d}{d_j}}$ $(j = 1, ..., q)$ if necessary, we may assume that Q_j $(j = 1, \ldots, q)$ have the same of the common degree d.

It is easy to see that there is a positive constant β such that $\beta||\tilde{f}||^d \geq |Q_i(\tilde{f})|$ for every $1 \leq i \leq q$. We set

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(i_1, ..., i_{k+1}) \, ; 1 \leq i_j \leq q, i_j \neq i_t \; \forall j \neq t\}.$$

For each $I = (i_1, ..., i_{k+1}) \in \mathcal{A}$, we denote by $P_{I1}, ..., P_{I(n+1)}$ the hypersurfaces obtained in Lemma 3.1 with respect to the family of hypersurfaces $\{Q_{i_1}, ..., Q_{i_{k+1}}\}$. It is easy to see that there exists a positive constant $B \geq 1$, which is chosen common for all $I \in \mathcal{A}$, such that

$$|P_{It}(\omega)| \leq B \max_{1\leq j\leq k+1-n+t} |Q_{i_j}(\omega)|,$$

for all $\omega = (\omega_0, ..., \omega_n) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$.

Consider a reduced representation $\tilde{f} = (f_0, \dots, f_n) : \mathbb{B}^m(1) \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ of f. For a fixed point $z \in \mathbb{B}^m(1) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^q Q_i(\tilde{f})^{-1}(\{0\})$. We may assume that

$$|Q_{i_1}(\tilde{f})(z)| \leq |Q_{i_2}(\tilde{f})(z)| \leq \cdots \leq |Q_{i_q}(\tilde{f})(z)|.$$

Since $Q_{i_1}, \dots, Q_{i_q}$ are in $k-$subgeneral position, by Lemma 3.5, there exists a positive constant A, which is chosen common for all z and $(i_1, ..., i_q)$, such that

$$||\tilde{f}(z)||^d \leq A \max_{1\leq j\leq k+1} |Q_{i_j}(\tilde{f})(z)| = A|Q_{i_{k+1}}(\tilde{f})(z)|.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{i=1}^q \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} &\leq A^{q-k} \prod_{j=1}^k \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}{|Q_{i_j}(\tilde{f})(z)|} \\ &\leq A^{q-k}B^n \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{kd}}{\left(\prod_{j=2}^{k-n+1} |Q_{i_j}(\tilde{f})(z)|\right)\cdot \prod_{j=1}^n |P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|} \\ &\leq c_1 \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{(k-n+1)nd}}{\prod_{j=1}^n |P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{k-n+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $I = (i_1, ..., i_{k+1})$ and c_1 is a positive constant, which is chosen common for all $I \in \mathcal{A}$. The above inequality implies that

$$\log \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}{|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|} \leq \log c_1 + (k-n+1) \log \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}{|P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|}. \tag{3.4}$$

Now, for a positive integer L, we denote by V_L the vector subspace of$\mathbb{C}[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ which consists of all homogeneous polynomials of degree L and zero polynomial. We see that N divisible by d. Hence, for each $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$ with $\sigma(i) = \sum_{s=1}^n i_s \leq \frac{N}{d}$, we set

$$W^I_{(i)} = \sum_{(j)=(j_1,\dots,j_n)\geq(i)} P_{I1}^{j_1} \cdots P_{In}^{j_n} \cdot V_{N-d\sigma(j)}.$$

Then we see that $W^I_{(0,\dots,0)} = V_N$ and $W^I_{(i)} \supset W^I_{(j)}$ if $(i) < (j)$ (in the sense of lexicographic order). Therefore, $W^I_{(i)}$ is a filtration of V_N. We have the following lemma due to [2].

Lemma 3.5. *Let $(i) = (i_1, \dots, i_n), (i)' = (i'_1, \dots, i'_n) \in \mathbf{N}_0^n$. Suppose that (i') follows (i) in the lexicographic ordering and defined*

$$m^I_{(i)} = \dim \frac{W^I_{(i)}}{W^I_{(i)'}}.$$

Then, we have $m^I_{(i)} = d^n$, provided $d\sigma(i) < N - nd$.

We assume that

$$V_N = W^I_{(i)_1} \supset W^I_{(i)_2} \supset \cdots \supset W^I_{(i)_K},$$

where $(i)_s = (i_{1s}, ..., i_{ns})$, $W^I_{(i)_{s+1}}$ follows $W^I_{(i)_s}$ in the ordering and $(i)_K = (\frac{N}{d}, 0, \dots, 0)$. We see that K is the number of n-tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_n)$ with $i_j \geq 0$ and $i_1 + \cdots + i_n \leq \frac{N}{d}$.

Then we easily estimate that

$$K = \binom{\frac{N}{d} + n}{n}.$$

We define $m_s^I = \dim \frac{W^I_{(i)_s}}{W^I_{(i)_{s+1}}}$ for all $s = 1, \ldots, K-1$ and set $m_K^I = 1$.

Let $u = \dim V_N$. From the above filtration, we may choose a basis $\{\psi_1^I, \ldots, \psi_u^I\}$ of V_N such that

$$\{\psi_{u-(m_s^I+\cdots+m_K^I)+1}, \ldots, \psi_u\}$$

is a basis of $W^I_{(i)_s}$. For each $s \in \{1, \ldots, K\}$ and $l \in \{u-(m_s^I+\cdots+m_K^I)+1, \ldots, u-(m_{s+1}^I+\cdots+m_K^I)\}$, we may write

$$\psi_l^I = P_{I1}^{i_{1s}} \ldots P_{In}^{i_{ns}} h_l, \quad \text{where } (i_{1s}, \ldots, i_{ks}) = (i)_s, h_l \in V^I_{N-d\sigma(i)_s}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\psi_l^I(\tilde{f})(z)| &\leq |P_{I1}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{i_{1s}} \ldots |P_{In}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{i_{ks}} |h_l(\tilde{f})(z)| \\
&\leq c_2 |P_{I1}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{i_{1s}} \ldots |P_{In}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{i_{ks}} ||\tilde{f}(z)||^{N-d\sigma(i)_s} \\
&= c_2 \left(\frac{|P_{I1}(\tilde{f})(z)|}{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}\right)^{i_{1s}} \cdots \left(\frac{|P_{In}(\tilde{f})(z)|}{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d}\right)^{i_{ks}} ||\tilde{f}(z)||^N,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_2 is a positive constant independently from l, I, f and z. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\log \prod_{l=1}^{u} |\psi_l^I(\tilde{f})(z)| \leq \sum_{s=1}^{K} m_s^I \left(i_{1s} \log \frac{|P_{I1}(\tilde{f})(z)|}{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d} + \cdots + i_{ns} \log \frac{|P_{In}(\tilde{f})(z)|}{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d} \right) \\
+ uN \log ||\tilde{f}(z)|| + \log c_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

We fix $\phi_1, ..., \phi_u$, a basic of V_N, $\psi_s^I(\tilde{f}) = L_s^I(\tilde{F})$, where L_s^I are linear forms and $\tilde{F} = (\phi_1(\tilde{f}), \ldots, \phi_u(\tilde{f}))$ is a reduced representation of a meromorphic mapping F. We set

$$b_j^I = \sum_{s=1}^{K} m_s^I i_{js}, \ 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

From (3.6) we have that

$$\log \prod_{s=1}^{u} |L_s^I(\tilde{F})(z)| \leq \log \left(\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{|P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|}{||\tilde{f}(z)||^d} \right)^{b_j^I} \right) + uN \log ||\tilde{f}(z)|| + \log c_2.$$

We set $b = \min_{j,I} b_j^I$. Because f is algebraically non degenerate over $\mathbb{C}$, F is linearly non degenerate over $\mathbb{C}$. Then there exists an admissible set $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_u) \in (\mathbb{Z}_+^m)^u$, with $|\alpha_s| \leq s-1$, such that

$$W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde{f})) := \det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i}(\phi_s(\tilde{f})))_{1 \leq i,s \leq u} \not\equiv 0.$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned}\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{qdb}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^q|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^b}&\leq\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{pndb}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{j=1}^n|P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{pb}}+O(1)\\&\leq\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{pd\sum_{j=1}^n b_j^I}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{j=1}^n|P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})(z)|^{pb_j^I}}+O(1)\\&\leq\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{puN}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^u|\psi_i^I(\tilde{f})(z)|^p}+O(1)\\&\leq\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{puN}|W^{\alpha}(\psi_s^I(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^u|\psi_i^I(\tilde{f})(z)|^p}+O(1),\end{aligned}\tag{3.7}$$

where $W^{\alpha}(\psi_s^I(\tilde{f}))=\det(\mathcal{D}^{\alpha_i}(\psi_s^I(\tilde{f})))_{1\leq i,s\leq u}$, $O(1)$ depends only on N and $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^q$. This inequality implies that

$$\log\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{qdb-puN}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{(\prod_{i=1}^q|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^b)}\leq\log\frac{|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^u|\psi_i^I(\tilde{f})(z)|^p}+O(1),\tag{3.8}$$

for all $z\in\mathbb{C}^m$ outside a proper analytic subset of $\mathbb{C}^m$, which is the union of zero sets of functions $Q_i(\tilde{f}), P_{Ij}(\tilde{f})$.

Put $S_I=\dfrac{|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|}{\prod_{i=1}^u|\psi_i^I(\tilde{f})(z)|}$. Then, there exists a positive constant K_0 such that, for each $z\in\mathbb{C}^m$,

$$\frac{||\tilde{f}(z)||^{qdb-puN}|W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^q|Q_i(\tilde{f})(z)|^b}\leq K_0^p.S_I^p(z).$$

for some $I\subset\{1,...,q\}$ with $\sharp I=k+1$.

Lemma 3.9. *For $N=(n+1)d+p(n+1)^3I(\epsilon^{-1})$ as in the assumption, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\text{(a)}\quad\frac{puN}{db}\leq(k-n+1)(n+1)+\epsilon,\\&\text{(b)}\quad u\leq e^{n+2}\left(dp(n+1)^2I(\epsilon^{-1})\right)^n.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma. For a real number $x\in[0,\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(1+x)^n&=1+nx+\sum_{i=2}^n\binom{n}{i}x^i\leq 1+nx+\sum_{i=1}^2\frac{n^i}{i!(n+1)^{2i-2}}x\\&=1+nx+\sum_{i=2}^n\frac{1}{i!}x\leq 1+(n+1)x.\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

We also note that

$$\frac{(n+1)d}{N-(n+1)d}=\frac{(n+1)d}{p(n+1)^3I(\epsilon^{-1})d}\leq\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}.\tag{3.11}$$

Now, we have the following estimates. First,

$$u = \binom{N+n}{n} = \frac{(N+1)\cdots(N+n)}{1\cdots n}.$$

Second, since the number of nonnegative integer t-tuples with summation $\leq T$ is equal to the number of nonnegative integer $(t+1)$-tuples with summation exactly equal $T \in \mathbf{Z}$, which is $\binom{T+t}{t}$, since the sum below is independent of j, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
b_j^I &= \sum_{\sigma(i)\leq N/d} m_{(i)}^I i_j \geq \sum_{\sigma(i)\leq N/d-n} m_{(i)}^I i_j \\
&= \sum_{\sigma(i)\leq N/d-n} d^n i_j = \frac{d^n}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)\leq N/d-n} \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} i_j \\
&= \frac{d^n}{n+1} \sum_{\sigma(i)\leq N/d-n} \left(\frac{N}{d} - n\right) = \frac{d^n}{(n+1)} \left(\frac{N}{d} - n\right) \binom{N/d}{n} \\
&= \frac{d^n (N/d)(N/d-1)\cdots(N/d-n-1)(N/d-n)}{1\cdots(n+1)d} \\
&= \frac{N(N-d)\cdots(N-(n-1)d)(N-nd)}{(n+1)!d}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{puN}{db} &\leq p(n+1)\frac{(N+1)\cdots(N+n)}{(N-d)\cdots(N-nd)} = p(n+1)\prod_{j=1}^{n}\frac{N+j}{N-(n+1)d+jd} \\
&\leq p(n+1)\left(\frac{N}{N-(n+1)d}\right)^n \leq p(n+1)\left(1+\frac{(n+1)d}{N-(n+1)d}\right)^n \\
&\leq p(n+1)\left(1+(n+1)\frac{(n+1)d}{N-(n+1)d}\right) \quad (*) \\
&\leq p(n+1)\left(1+(n+1)\frac{(n+1)d}{p(n+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})d}\right) \\
&\leq p(n+1)\left(1+\frac{1}{p(n+1)\epsilon^{-1}}\right) = p(n+1)+\epsilon,
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality (*) comes from (3.10) and (3.11). Also, one can be estimated that

$$\begin{aligned}
u = \binom{N+n}{n} &\leq e^n\left(1+\frac{N}{n}\right)^n \leq e^n\left(\frac{n+(n+1)d}{n}+\frac{p(n+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})d}{n}\right)^n \\
&= e^n(p(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1})d)^n\left(1+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{n+(n+1)d}{np(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1})d}\right)^n \\
&\leq \left(edp(n+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})\right)^n \cdot \left(1+\frac{1}{n}+\frac{2}{n(n+1)}\right)^n \\
&\leq \left(edp(n+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})\right)^n \cdot \left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)^n \leq e^{n+2}\left(dp(n+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1})\right)^n.
\end{aligned}$$

The lemma is proved.

Claim 3.12. $\big(b\sum_{j=1}^{q}\nu_{Q_j(\tilde{f})}-p\nu_{W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))}\big)\leq b\sum_{i=1}^{q}\min\{u-1,\nu_{Q_j(\tilde{f})}\}$.

Fix $z\in\mathbb{C}^m$, we may assume that

$$\nu_{Q_1(\tilde{f})}(z)\geq\cdots\geq\nu_{Q_t(\tilde{f})}(z)>0=\nu_{Q_{t+1}(\tilde{f})}(z)=\cdots=\nu_{Q_q(\tilde{f})}(z),$$

where $0\leq t\leq k$, (t may be zero). We denote by $\{P_1,\ldots,P_{n+1}\}$, the family of hypersurfaces corresponding to the family $\{Q_1,...,Q_{k+1}\}$ as in the Lemma 3.1. Then we will see that

$$\begin{aligned}\nu_{P_1}(z)&=\nu_{Q_1}(z),\\ \nu_{P_i}(z)&\geq\nu_{Q_{k-n+i}}(z).\end{aligned}$$

Put $I=(1,...,n+1)$ and $M=u-1$. We have

$$p\nu_{W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))}(z)=p\nu_{W^\alpha(\psi_s^I(\tilde{f}))}(z)\geq p\sum_{s=1}^{u}\max\{\nu_{\psi_s^I(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}.$$

For $\psi=P_1^{i_1}...P_n^{i_n}h\in\{\psi_s^I\}_{s=1}^u$, we have

$$\psi(\tilde{f})(z)=P_1^{i_1}(\tilde{f})(z)\ldots P_n^{i_n}(\tilde{f})(z).h(\tilde{f})(z).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\max\{\nu_{\psi(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}&\geq\sum_{t=1}^{n}\max\{\nu_{(P_t^{i_t}(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}\\ &\geq\sum_{t=1}^{n}i_t\max\{\nu_{P_t(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}p\sum_{s=1}^{u}\max\{\nu_{\psi_s(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}&\geq p\sum_{(i)}m_{(i)}^I\sum_{t=1}^{k}i_t\max\{\nu_{P_t(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}\\ &=p\sum_{t=1}^{n}b_t^I\max\{\nu_{P_t(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}\geq p\sum_{t=1}^{n}b\max\{\nu_{P_t(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}\\ &\geq\sum_{t=1}^{K}b\max\{\nu_{Q_t(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}=\sum_{i=1}^{q}b\max\{\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(z)-M,0\}\\ &=b\sum_{i=1}^{q}\max\{(f^*Q_i)(z)-M,0\}=b\sum_{i=1}^{q}\big(\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(z)-\min\{u-1,\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(z)\}\big).\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$b\sum_{i=1}^{q}\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(z)-p\nu_{W^\alpha(\phi_i(\tilde{f}))}(z)\leq b\sum_{i=1}^{q}\min\{u-1,\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}\}.$$

The claim is proved.

Assume that

$$\rho\Omega_f + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi}\partial\bar{\partial}\log h^2 \geq \mathrm{Ric}\omega.$$

We now suppose that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}\delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j) > \frac{puN}{db} + \frac{\rho pu(u-1)}{db}.$$

Then, for each $j \in \{1,\ldots,q\}$, there exist constants $\eta_j > 0$ and continuous plurisubharmonic function $\tilde{u}_j$ such that $e^{\tilde{u}_j}|\varphi_j| \leq ||\tilde{f}||^{d\eta_j}$, where φ_j is a holomorphic function with $\nu_{\varphi_j} = \min\{u-1, f^*Q_j\}$ and

$$q - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\eta_j > \frac{puN}{db} + \frac{\rho pu(u-1)}{db}.$$

Put $u_j = \tilde{u}_j + \log|\varphi_j|$, then u_j is a plurisubharmonic and

$$e^{u_j} \leq ||\tilde{f}||^{d\eta_j},\ j = 1,\ldots,q.$$

Let

$$v(z) = \log\left|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})^p\frac{(W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))(z))^p}{(\prod_{i=1}^{q}Q_i(\tilde{f})(z))^b}\right| + b\sum_{j=1}^{q}u_j(z).$$

Therefore, we have the following current inequality

$$\begin{aligned}2dd^c[v] &\geq p[\nu_{W^\alpha(\phi_i(\tilde{f}))}] - b\sum_{j=1}^{q}[\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}] + \sum_{j=1}^{q}2dd^c[u_j]\\ &= p[\nu_{W^\alpha(\phi_i(\tilde{f}))}] - b\sum_{j=1}^{q}[\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}] + b\sum_{j=1}^{q}[\min\{u-1,\nu_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}\}] \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$

This implies that v is a plurisubharmonic function on $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$.

On the other hand, by the growth condition of f, there exists a continuous plurisubharmonic function $\omega \not\equiv \infty$ on $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$ such that

$$e^{\omega}dV \leq ||\tilde{f}||^{2\rho}v_m$$

Set

$$t = \frac{2\rho}{db(q - \frac{puN}{db} - \sum_{j=1}^{q}\eta_j)} > 0$$

and

$$\lambda(z) = (z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})^p\frac{\left(W^\alpha(\phi_i(\tilde{f}))\right)^p(z)}{Q_1^b(\tilde{f})(z)\ldots Q_q^b(\tilde{f})(z)}.$$

We see that

$$\frac{u(u-1)p}{2}t < \frac{u(u-1)p}{2}\cdot\frac{2\rho}{2\rho u(u-1)p} = 1,$$

and the function $\zeta = \omega + tv$ is plurisubharmonic on the Kähler manifold M. Choose a position number δ such that $0 < \frac{u(u-1)pt}{2} < \delta < 1$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\zeta}dV = e^{\omega+tv}dV &\leq e^{tv}||\tilde{f}||^{2\rho}v_m = |\lambda|^t(\prod_{j=1}^{q} e^{tbu_j})||\tilde{f}||^{2\rho}v_m \\ &\leq |\lambda|^t||\tilde{f}||^{2\rho+\sum_{j=1}^{q} bdt\eta_j}v_m = |\lambda|^t||\tilde{f}||^{dbt(q-\frac{puN}{db})}v_m. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating both sides of the above inequality over $\mathbb{B}^m(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^{\zeta}dV &\leq \int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} |\lambda|^t||\tilde{f}||^{t(qdb-puN)}v_m. \\ &= 2m\int_0^1 r^{2m-1}\left(\int_{S(r)} \left(|\lambda|||\tilde{f}||^{qdb-puN}\right)^t\sigma_m\right)dr \\ &\leq 2m\int_0^1 r^{2m-1}\left(\int_{S(r)} \sum_{\substack{\sharp I=k+1 \\ I\subset\{1,\ldots,q\}}} \left|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})K_0S_I\right|^{pt}\sigma_m\right)dr. \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

(a) We first consider the case where

$$\limsup_{r\to 1}\frac{T_f(r,r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)} < \infty.$$

We note that $(\sum_{i=1}^{u}|\alpha_i|)pt \leq \frac{u(u-1)p}{2}t < \delta < 1$. Then by Proposition 2.3, there exists a positive constant K_1 such that, for every $0 < r_0 < r < r' < 1$, we have

$$\int_{S(r)} \left|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})K_0S_I(z)\right|^{pt}\sigma_m \leq K_1\left(\frac{r'^{2m-1}}{r'-r}dT_f(r',r_0)\right)^{\delta}.$$

Choosing $r' = r + \dfrac{1-r}{eT_f(r,r_0)}$, we get

$$T_f(r',r_0) \leq 2T_f(r,r_0)$$

outside a subset $E \subset [0,1]$ with $\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty$. Hence, the above inequality implies that

$$\sum_{\substack{\sharp I=k+1 \\ I\subset\{1,\ldots,q\}}} \int_{S(r)} \left|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})K_0S_I(z)\right|^{pt}\sigma_m \leq \frac{K}{(1-r)^{\delta}}\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\delta}$$

for all z outside E, where K is a some positive constant. By choosing K large enough, we may assume that the above inequality holds for all $z \in \mathbb{B}^m(1)$. Then, the inequality (3.13) yields that

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^m(1)} e^{\zeta}dV \leq 2m\int_0^1 r^{2m-1}\frac{K}{(1-r)^{\delta}}\left(\log\frac{1}{1-r}\right)^{\delta}dr < +\infty$$

This contradicts the results of S.T. Yau [13] and L. Karp [6].

Hence, we must have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}\delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j)\le\frac{puN}{db}+\frac{p\rho u(u-1)}{db}.$$

Since $p\le b$, the above inequality implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q}\delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j)\le(k-n+1)(n+1)+\epsilon+\frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d}.$$

The theorem is proved in this case.

(b) We now consider the remaining case where

$$\limsup_{r\to 1}\frac{T(r,r_0)}{\log 1/(1-r)}=\infty.$$

Repeating the argument in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we only need to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *With the assumption of Theorem 1.1 and suppose that $M=\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$. Then, we have*

$$(q-p(n+1)-\epsilon)T_f(r,r_0)\le\sum_{i=1}^{q}\frac{1}{d}N^{[u-1]}_{Q_i(\tilde f)}(r)+S(r),$$

where $S(r)\le K(\log^+\frac{1}{R_0-r}+\log^+T_f(r,r_0))$ for all $0<r_0<r<R_0$ outside a set $E\subset[0,R_0]$ with $\int_E\frac{dt}{R_0-t}<\infty$.

Proof. Repeating the above argument, we have

$$\int_{S(r)}\left|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})^p\frac{||\tilde f(z)||^{qdb-puN}|W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde f))(z)|^p}{\prod_{i=1}^q|Q_i(\tilde f)(z)|^b}\right|^t\sigma_m\le K_1\left(\frac{R^{2m-1}}{R-r}dT_f(R,r_0)\right)^\delta$$

for every $0<r_0<r<R<R_0$. Using the concativity of the logarithmic function, we have

(3.15)
$$p\int_{S(r)}\log|(z^{\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_u})|\sigma_m+(qdb-puN)\int_{S(r)}\log||\tilde f||\sigma_m+p\int_{S(r)}\log|W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde f))|\sigma_m$$
$$-b\sum_{j=1}^{q}\int_{S(r)}\log|Q_j(\tilde f)|\sigma_m\le K\left(\log^+\frac{1}{R_0-r}+\log^+T_f(R,r_0)\right)$$

for some positive constant K. By the Jensen formula, this inequality implies that

(3.16)
$$(qdb-puN)T_f(r,r_0)+pN_{W^\alpha(\phi_s(\tilde f))}(r)-b\sum_{i=1}^{q}N_{Q_i(\tilde f)}(r)$$
$$\le K\left(\log^+\frac{1}{R_0-r}+\log^+T_f(R,r_0)\right)+O(1).$$

From Claim 3.12, we have

$$b\sum_{i=1}^{q} N_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) - pN_{W^{\alpha}(\phi_s(\tilde{f}))}(r) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} N^{[u-1]}_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r).$$

Combining this estimate and (3.16), we get

$$\left(q - \frac{puN}{db}\right) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{d} N^{[u-1]}_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) + K\left(\log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0)\right) + O(1).$$

Since $\frac{puN}{db} \leq p(n+1) + \epsilon$, the above inequality implies that

$$(q - p(n+1) - \epsilon) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{d} N^{[u-1]}_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) + K\left(\log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + \log^+ T_f(R, r_0)\right) + O(1).$$

Choosing $R = r + \dfrac{1-r}{eT_f(r, r_0)}$, we get

$$T_f(R, r_0) \leq 2T_f(r, r_0)$$

outside a subset $E \subset [0, 1]$ with $\int_E \frac{dr}{1-r} < +\infty$. Thus

$$(q - p(n+1) - \epsilon) T_f(r, r_0) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{d} N^{[u-1]}_{Q_i(\tilde{f})}(r) + K\left(\log^+ \frac{1}{R_0 - r} + \log^+ T(r, r_0)\right) + O(1).$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_f^{[u-1]}(Q_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \delta_{f,*}^{[u-1]}(Q_j) \leq p(n+1) + \epsilon.$$

The theorem is proved in this case. □

4. Value distribution of the Gauss map of a complete regular submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^m$

Let M be a connected complex manifold of dimension m. Let

$$f = (f_1, \ldots, f_n) : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$$

be a regular submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^n$; namely, f be a holomorphic map of M into $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that $\text{rank} d_p f = \dim M$ for every point $p \in M$. We assign each point $p \in M$ to the tangent space $T_p(M)$ of M at p which may be considered as an m-dimensional linear subspace of $T_{f(p)}(\mathbb{C}^n)$. Also, each tangent space $T_p(\mathbb{C}^n)$ can be identified with $T_0(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n$ by a parallel translation. Hence, each $T_p(M)$ is corresponded to a point $G(p)$ in the complex Grassmannian manifold $G(m, n)$ of all m-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition 4.1. *The map $G : p \in M \mapsto G(p) \in G(m, n)$ is called the Gauss map of the map $f : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$.*

The space $G(m, n)$ is canonically embedded in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{P}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n)$, where $N = \binom{n}{m} - 1$. Then we may identify the Gauss map G with a holomorphic mapping of M into $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$

given as follows: taking holomorphic local coordinates $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ defined on an open set U, we consider the map

$$\bigwedge := D_1 f \wedge \cdots \wedge D_n f : U \to \bigwedge^m \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where

$$D_i f = (\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial z_i}, \cdots, \frac{\partial f_n}{\partial z_i}).$$

Then, locally we have

$$G = \pi \circ \bigwedge,$$

where $\pi : \mathbb{C}^{N+1} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is the canonical projection map. A regular submanifold M of $\mathbb{C}^m$ is considered as a Kähler manifold with the metric ω induced from the standard flat metric on $\mathbb{C}^m$. We denote by dV the volume form on M. For arbitrarily holomorphic coordinates $z_1, \ldots, z_m$, we see that

$$dV = |\bigwedge|^2 \left(\sqrt{-1}2\right)^m dz_1 \wedge d\bar{z_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dz_m \wedge dz_m,$$

where

$$|\bigwedge|^2 = \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \cdots < i_m \le n} \frac{\partial(f_{i_1}, ..., f_{i_m})}{\partial(z_1, ..., z_m)}^2.$$

Therefore, for a regular submanifold $f : M \to \mathbb{C}^m$, the Gauss map $G : M \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ satisfies the following growth condition

$$\Omega_G + dd^c \log h^2 = dd^c \log |\bigwedge|^2 = \mathrm{Ric}(\omega),$$

where $h = 1$. Then Theorem 1.1 immediately gives us the following.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M be a complex manifold of dimension m such that the universal covering of M is biholomorphic to a ball $\mathbb{B}^m(R_0)$ $(0 < R_0 \le +\infty)$ in $\mathbb{C}^m$. Let $f : M \to \mathbb{C}^n$ be a complete regular submanifold. Assume that the Gauss map $G : M \to \mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$ is algebraically non-degenerate, where $N = \binom{n}{m} - 1$. Let $Q_1, \ldots, Q_q$ be q hypersurfaces of degree d_j $(1 \le j \le q)$ in k-subgeneral position in $\mathbb{P}^N(\mathbb{C})$. Let d be the least common multiple of d_i's, i.e., $d = l.c.m.\{d_1, \ldots, d_q\}$. Then, for every $\epsilon > 0$ we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \delta_G^{[u-1]}(Q_i) \le p(N+1) + \epsilon + \frac{\rho u(u-1)}{d},$$

where $p = k - N + 1$, $L = (N+1)d + p(N+1)^3 I(\epsilon^{-1})d$ and $u = \binom{L+N}{N} \le 3^{N+2}(dp(N+1)^2 I(\epsilon^{-1}))^N$.

Acknowledgements. This research is funded by Vietnam National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) under grant number 101.04-2015.03.

References

[1] Z. Chen, M. Ru and Q. Yan, *The degenerated second main theorem and Schmidt's subspace theorem,* Sci. China Math. **55** (2012) 1367–1380.

[2] P. Corvaja and U. Zannier, *On a general Thue's equation,* Amer. J. Math. **126** (2004) 1033–1055.

[3] H. Fujimoto, *On the Gauss map of a complete minimal surface in* $\mathbf{R}^m$, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 35 (1983), No.2, 279-288.

[4] H. Fujimoto, *Value distribution of the Gauss maps of complete minimal surfaces in* $\mathbf{R}^m$, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 35 (1983), 663-681.

[5] H. Fujimoto, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into* $\mathbb{P}^{N_1}(\mathbb{C}) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{P}^{N_k}(\mathbb{C})$, Japan. J. Math., 11 (1985), No 2, 233-264.

[6] L. Karp, *Subharmonic functions on real and complex manifolds*, Math. Z., 179 (1982), 535-554.

[7] J. Noguchi, *A note on entire pseudo-holomorphic curves and the proof of Cartan-Nochka's theorem*, Kodai Math. J., 28 (2005), 336-346

[8] S. D. Quang, *Degeneracy second main theorems for meromorphic mappings into projective varieties with hypersurfaces*, arXiv:1610.03951 [math.CV].

[9] S. D. Quang, *Second main theorem for meromorphic mappings with moving hypersurfaces in subgeneral position*, Preprint.

[10] M. Ru and S. Sogome, *Non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into* $\mathbb{P}^n(\mathbb{C})$ *intersecting hypersurfaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **364**, No. 3 (2012), 1145-1162.

[11] Tran Van Tan and Vu Van Truong, *A non-integrated defect relation for meromorphic maps of complete Kähler manifolds into a projective variety intersecting hypersurfaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136**(2012), 111-126.

[12] Q. Yan, *Non-integrated defect relation and uniqueness theorem for meromorphic maps of a complete Kähler manifold into* $P^n(\mathbb{C})$, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **398**(2013), 567-581.

[13] S.T. Yau, *Some function-theoretic properties of complete Riemannian manifolds and their applications to geometry*, Indiana U. Math. J. 25 (1976), 659-670.

Si Duc Quang

[1] Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education,

136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam.

[2] Thang Long Institute of Mathematics and Applied Sciences,

Nghiem Xuan Yem, Hoang Mai, HaNoi, Vietnam.

E-mail: quangsd@hnue.edu.vn

Nguyen Thi Quynh Phuong

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi National University of Education,

136-Xuan Thuy, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam.

E-mail: nguyenthiquynhphuongk63@gmail.com

Nguyen Thi Nhung

Department of Mathematics, Thang Long University,

Nghiem Xuan Yem, Hoang Mai, HaNoi, Vietnam.

E-mail: hoangnhung227@gmail.com